

Katie Hopkins

ENGL 305

Dr. Scheler

February 17, 2017

Masked Meanings: the Historical Importance of Masques and their Role in *The Tempest*

One of the most mystifying passages of *The Tempest* for the modern reader is the engagement masque found in Act IV, Scene i. The passage seems oddly out of place within the plot because of its goddess characters, mythological references, and a call for a dance of farmers, and thus is easily skipped over by the reader for seemingly having no meaning. However, the reader's disengagement is largely due to the displacement of the text from its historical context, in which masques were an extremely prevalent art form, but have since died out and dwindled from common knowledge. Only by knowing the historical background on masques does it become clear that *The Tempest* criticizes the function of masques as political propaganda by having Prospero first call the masque into existence, and then cut it off prematurely in favor of making a speech on the mortality of man.

According to Robert Adams in "The Staging of Jonson's Plays and Masques," masques were a specific art form, found only in aristocratic circles, that reached their peak popularity and influence in the early seventeenth century under James I. While masques have a script, scenes, characters, music and dance, they were very much unlike theatrical plays of the time because of their exclusivity and price tag. Masques were only meant for the eyes of the aristocracy in their private parties, many of them performing in the masques themselves, and were incredibly expensive to produce, boasting the height of fashion, literature, and technical scenery. Because of this, they were only performed once, which added to their air of superiority. Furthermore, the

specific function of the masque was to spew flattery on the members of the royal family and the general court itself (Adams 314-17). However, this frivolity was not without intention nor ramification. In the introduction of *Masques of Difference*, Kristen McDermott explores the construct of the masque as political propaganda, meant to assert the value and importance of the monarchy. The subject matter of masques were not strictly up to the author, but puppeteered by higher political powers, ensuring that the masque reflected the thoughts and doctrines of the monarchy. As an art form, masques were susceptible to the insecurities of the aristocracy of the time and thus always reflected the need of the court to assert themselves as ultimately superior, wholesome, and god-like compared to the masses of England, using highly thought of classical mythology to do so. Ultimately, these assertions proved to be divisive and are thought to have added fuel to the tensions leading up to the outbreak of civil war in 1640. (McDermott 2-6).

A good example of the flattery power of masques comes from one of the most successful masque writers of the time—Ben Jonson—who helped to flatter many members of the royal family and their endeavors through his literary prowess. One such instance is Jonson's *Pleasure Reconciled to Virtue* which singles out King James as a superior being. The masque parades characters such as Atlas, Hercules and Daedalus who represent and discuss the seemingly impossible ability for any individual to understand and command both pleasure and virtue at once. While even these classical characters fail to boast the ability, there is one person in the masque who does: King James, in the form of Hesperus. Mercury singles out Hesperus, the brother of Atlas as capable of wielding both pleasure and virtue:

... It is not with his brother

Bearing the world, but ruling such another

Is his renown. Pleasure for his delight

Is reconciled to virtue... (Jonson 159-162)

The “such another” which Hesperus rules is classically referred to as the western isles, and obviously refers to King James, ruler of the isle of England. Since, in this case, Hesperus is King James, this masque blatantly asserts that he is able to make peace between two seemingly disparate values. This assertion becomes political propaganda because it suggests that James is a man with god-like ability and thus superiorly fit to rule, since he can accomplish what even great mythological figures like Hercules cannot.

The Tempest sets up a masque of the same flavor by beginning with the mythological characters of Juno, Ceres, and Iris, but unlike Jonson’s masque, the engagement masque doesn’t get the chance to truly flatter the guests of honor—Ferdinand and Miranda—and flourish into political propaganda, because it is interrupted before it finished. The most specific reference to Ferdinand and Miranda in the masque comes from Juno, urging the others to join her in blessing the couple: “... Go with me / To bless this twain, that they may prosperous be, / And honored in their issue,” (Shakespeare 4.1 103-5). While this blessing certainly singles out Ferdinand and Miranda to be special enough to receive attention from these “goddesses,” it is not the same as asserting their superiority. The word “may” in this blessing rather suggests that the two have a journey of growth ahead of them before they truly become prosperous and renowned rulers of Naples. Perhaps this masque would have flourished into assertions of their future superiority, but Prospero hastily cuts off the masque that he himself called for. Upon remembering Caliban’s plot to murder him, Prospero exclaims to the spirits “Well done! A void; no more!” and makes them depart during the middle of a celebratory dance (Shakespeare 4.1 142). Because Prospero cuts off the masque when the only flattery that was bestowed upon Ferdinand and Miranda was a

blessing, he hindered it from blossoming into a stronger assertion of their superiority, the quintessential function of the masque as an art form.

While the lack of flattery and assertion of superiority doesn't necessarily mean that *The Tempest* criticizes the aristocracy's need for reassurance, but the speech Prospero delivers directly after he cuts off the masque does. After cutting off the revelry, Prospero launches into a long-winded and nostalgic speech about the insubstantiality of man:

... These our actors,
As I foretold you, were all spirits and
Are melted into air, into thin air;
And, like the baseless fabric of this vision,
The cloud-capped towers, the gorgeous palaces,
The solemn temples, the great globe itself,
Yea, all which it inherit, shall dissolve,
And like this insubstantial pageant faded,
Leave not a rack behind. We are such stuff
As dreams are made on, and our little life
Is rounded with a sleep. (Shakespeare 4.1 147-58)

Calling the performance an "insubstantial pageant," Prospero's insult echoes criticism through the masque as an art form, something which was considered extremely substantial and important to early seventeenth century courtiers. To suggest that the masque "shall dissolve" is to suggest that the aristocracy itself will dissolve, since the masque is intrinsically tied to the prideful will of the court. Furthermore, Prospero's suggestion that "We are such stuff / As dreams are made on, and our little life / Is rounded with a sleep" pinpoints the two truths of the monarchy that they

are loath to admit: their mortality and humanity. While the monarchy may play at being classical gods, their assertions and propaganda are nothing more than a will to feel secure in their own statuses. Whether Prospero's "we" is referring to himself, Miranda and Ferdinand as future royalty of Naples, or humanity as a whole he asserts that every human life is fleeting and any pageantry, wealth, or status one has will disappear with the great equalizer of death.

When one knows the torrid history of the masque, this scene from *The Tempest* can never again be thought of as arbitrary. Rather it is a thinly veiled criticism meant to demean the insecurities of the aristocracy, insecurities that can even provide valuable insights to the actions of political leaders today. Without the historical background, the meaning and lesson of this criticism is lost on the modern reader, illustrating the importance of keeping a literary text in conversation with its historical context. While we may think of masterpieces like *The Tempest* as timeless, they are always a product of their time.

Works Cited

- Adams, Robert M. "The Staging of Jonson's Plays and Masques." *Ben Jonson's Plays and Masques: Texts of the Plays and Masques Jonson on His Work Contemporary Readers on Jonson Criticism*. New York: Norton, 1994. 311-17. Print.
- Jonson, Ben. *Pleasure Reconciled to Virtue*. *Ben Jonson's Plays and Masques: Texts of the Plays and Masques Jonson on His Work Contemporary Readers on Jonson Criticism*. New York: Norton, 1994. 364-73. Print.
- McDermott, Kristen. "Introduction." *Masques of Difference: Four Court Masques by Ben Jonson*. Manchester: Manchester UP, 2007. 2-6. Print.
- Shakespeare, William. *The Tempest: A Case Study in Critical Controversy*. Ed. Gerald Graff and James Phelan. 2nd ed. Boston: Bedford/St. Martin's, 2009. Print.