Peer Review from Donnie LaBarre

1. ‘It seems to me your three main points: Great Chain, time, and morality, have a bit of in-fighting between them. Great Chain and time as a combo makes a lot of sense as forms of nature to be conquered by man, as does the Great Chain and morality combo. However, your time and morality paragraphs seem to be hitting at a different nerve. I think it is possible to marry these points, but that would require a revision of your claim.

2. Speaking of revising your claim, the claim in your intro and the one in your conclusion aren’t the same thing. Your conclusion one is stronger and better represents the body of your paragraph, but in that case some of the body points, such as the historical shipwreck ones, which go more with the intro claim should be revised.

3. After you explained to me in person the significance of your mentioning of Copernicus, I was convinced, but what’s in your paper as of now is not the full story. Adding more analysis to that piece of evidence, such as by relating it to the new perspective humanity gained of the world and their place in it, would help make that quote more convincing to your argument.